HOME DEPARTMENT, JUNE 1921.

Pro. no. 201.] Extending of the amnesty to Savarkar brothers and the decision not to release them.
letters which they have addressed to their brother Dr. N. D. Savarkar. They are-
"It is mockery to talk of constitutional agitation where there is ,no
constitution at all-but it is a greater mockery-I may say a
crime to talk of revolution where there is a constitution that
a a lowed the fullest development of a nation. Now that the Govern-
ment have changed their attitude and angle of vision by giving us
a constitution by these Reforms I see that there is clearly no
necessity to talk of revolution any more. As far the Reforms
themselves, well I a ready to accept them with the same grace in
which they are given as the first instalment and try my humble
best to work out the fullest development of my country through
them."
Again " I believe that as soon as the Reforms are effected and if they be soon effected and at last the Viceregal Councils are made to represent the voice of the people, then there would be no hesitation on my part-infinitely humble though it be-to make the beginning of such a constitutional development a success to stand by law and order which is a foundation and basis of society in general and Hindu polity in particular." This being their attitude and frame of mind at present I submit that their cases fall clearly within the terms of the gracious proclamation of His Majesty the King Emperor issúed in December 1919 just after the passing of the Reforms Act (The Government of India Act, 1919). That proclamation says among other things :-" Let those that through their eagerness for political progress have broken the law in the past respect it in the future."
10. Many people who had committed political offences were released as a result of His Majesty"s Proclamation. In particular a number of Bengali youths connected with the Manecktolla Garden Bomb Factory which was responsible among other things for the murder of two innocent ladies have been pardoned and released; I am stating this not by way of complaint or in a grudging mood but because I know that the wholesome effects of the clemency shown to them a'l will be still further increased by the release of my husband 'and his brother and the political atmosphere in the country will be largely cleared of suspicion and mistrust which are unfortunately so much in evidence at present. The ins slent public demand for their release both in the press and on the platform during the last five years as evidenced by the resolutions passed by the various public bodies like the Taluka, District and other Conferences and by the fact that a huge petition for their release sig ed by fifty thousand people was submitted to His Majesty the King-Emperor in 1919 will satisfy Your Lordship's Government that the grant of my request will reconcile a large volume of public opinion throughout the country and. particularly in Maharashtra. I submit therefore that on a proper view of the case Your Lordship in Council will be pleased to order the release of the two brothers.
11. Your Lordship has filled the highest judicial office within the British Empire with great distinction and honour. Your Lordship's public utterances both before and after your arrival in India as the representative of the Crown have been marked by a conspicuous and deep and burning anxiety to award justice to all without dist inction of caste, colour, and creed. I submit that justice is most just when it is tempered with mercy and the cases of my husband and his brother are eninently fit cases for the exercise of that prerogative of Your Lordship's exalted office. When I beg leave to bring to Your Lordship's notice as a result of nearly twelve years of incarceration in the far-off Andamans their health has greatly deteriorated, leading to considerable, loss of weight.
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Extending of the amnesty to Savarkar brothers and the decision not to reiease them. [Pro. nos. 201.
Your Lordship's Government will be pleased to grant the prayer of the wife who prays on behalf of her husband and his brother.

Both Vinayak and Ganesh have definitely declared their intention to live as loyal and law-abiding citizens. In addition their brother Dr. N. D. Savarkar is prepared to give any undertaking on their behalf that Your Lordship's Government might consider reasonable; when to this is added the undertaking which was offered by the Hon'ble Mr. Iyyengar, the mover of the Resolution in the Council of State for their good behaviour, all reasonable apprehensions about their behaviour after release should be removed.

I therefore pray-
That Your Excellency's Government will be pleased to direct the release of my husband Vinayak Savarkar and of his brother Ganesh Savarkar, and for this act of kindness I shall ever pray , etc.
(Sd.) YAMUNABAI VINAYAK SAVARKAR.
Dated this 18th day of April 1921.
Drawn on instructions by
Jamnadas M. Mehta, Esq., M.A., LL.B., Barrister-at-Law.

Exd. by-E.A.
C239HD

Submitted. In 1911 convicts Hrishikish Kanji Lal and Ganesh Damodar Savarkar put Poll. B. (Print), December 1912, nos, 11-31. forward a claim through the Superintendent, Port Blair, that they should be termed "political prisoners," and we informed the Superintendent that we approved of his refusal to recognise this claim. In stibsequent correspondence with the Superintendent of the Settlement on the subject of the transfer, etc., of men of this class from

Poll. A., May 1920, nos. 146-78.
Poll. A., November 1920, nos. 310-17.
Poll. A., February 1915, nos. 162-8.
Poll. B., May 1913, (Print), nos. $96-110$.
Poll. A., October 1919, nos. 129-139. the Settlement, they are referred to as seditionist prisoners. In our letter no. 2121, dated the 8th October 1919, however, on the subject of the grant of release and remission of sentences to persons in the Andamans convicted by courts in Britishr India anda sentenced under Chapter VI of the Indian Penal Code for offences against the State or for kindred offences either under special laws or the provisions of laws which require the sanction of Government to a prosecution, we referred to these persons as ' political prisoners.' Further, in connection with the transfer of this class of men from the Andamans to jails in India in accordance with the recommendation of the Jails Committee, these men are throughout termed 'political prisoners,' They were similarly termed during the discussion of the report in Council. It is, therefore, clear that we cannot inform the Hon'ble Member that there are no political prisoners in the Andamans. We may again address the Chief Commissioner, Andamans, and ask him to furnish the requisite particulars in regard to seditionist prisoners who fall within the category mentioned in the opening sentence of

Jails A., July 1920, nos, $100-174$.
paragraph 4 of our letter no. 1555-C.-Jails, dated the 28th February 1919. As it will not be possible to ebtain the material for a reply before the Council Session is closed, we may address the Chief Commissioner by letter and give an ad interim reply to the Hon'ble Member. Drafts submittted for approval. Information has been received that this question is down for the meeting of the 26th March.

$$
\text { E. P. D. }-24-3-21 \text {. }
$$

I think we can do better than this. I suggest a reply; draft answer as in the draft below.

> C. W. Gwynne,--24-3-21.

What is the explanation at the difference between our estimate of 46 prisoners and the Chief Commissioner's statement that there are 90 ?

## S. P. O'DONNELL,-24-3-21.

Our papers show that there are 46 persons in the Andamans who were sentenced under

Poll. A., November 1920, nos. $310-317$ and Appendix to Notes. Chapter VI (Sedition) of the Indian Penal Code or kindred offences under special laws, while those given by the Chief Commissioner is 90 . The diserepancy is apparently due to the fact that the Chief Commissioner has included under the heading "seditionist prisoners" persons convicted of offences other than those falling under Chapter VI. The point need not, however, be pursued further now but cleared up after the answer proposed has been given in the Council of State to-morrow.

## J. McD.,-25-3-21.

The answer may be as in the draft below.
S. P. O'DONNELL, $-25-3-21$.
W. H. V[incent] $] \mp-25-3-21$.

No. 224.
Council of State.

$$
\text { L. D. Register no. } 252 .
$$

To be anwered on the 26 Th Maroh 1921.
Reply by the Hon'ble Sir William Vincent to the Hon'ble Mr. Bhurgri's question regarding political prisoners in the Andamans.
The Government are not able to give in detail the information asked for by the Hon'ble Member, but are collecting it and will furnish it as soon as it is obtained.

I may, however, state that it has been decided that political prisoners now serving sentences in the Andamans should be transferred to jails in India, and that instructions have been issted for their removal in direct consultation between the local Governments concerned and the Chief Commissioner, Port Blair.

At present no political prisoners are under treatment for malaria_and only one is under observation for tuberculosis.

Resubmitted. The draft below to the Chief Commissioner, Andaman and Nicobar Islands may perhaps now issue. We need not point out the discrepancy between his figures and ours at present ; but wait till the rece pt of the reply.
J. McD.,-29-3-21.

The draft may issue.

$$
\text { a. W. Gwynne, - } 7-4-21 \text {. }
$$

(3)

## I 1 Letter to Chief Commissioner, Port Blatr, no. 759, dated the 3rd May 1921,

The Chief Commissioner, Andaman and Nicobar Islands has not yet replied to our letter no. 759, dated the 3rd May 1921. We may remind by telegram as the information required will enable us to dispose of a question in Council to be asked in September next. Draft telegram submitted.

$$
\text { J. McD., }-27-7-21 .
$$

## A. Lawrence,- $28-7-21$.

Telegram to the Chief Commissioner, Port Blair, no. 305, dated the 29th Jury 1921.
(4)

Letter fromíthe Chief Commissioner, Andayans, no. 925, dated the 15 th Aueust 1921.
Submitted. Office has noted in the margin of the list attached to letter the cases in which the men were convicted. It will be seen that the total of 70 is made up of 18 Bombay Disturbance men, 19 Punjab Disturbance prisoners, 26 other Punjabis, 5 Burmese and 2 from the North-West Frontier Province. The persons convicted in the Bombay and Punjab Disturbances are not rightly classified as 'political prisoners' and may be excluded from the list to be supplied to the Hon'ble Member. On the linked file it has been noted that there are 30 political prisoners from the Punjab in the Andamans whereas the Chief Commissioner's list shows only 26. This diserepancy is accounted for by the fact that the last four men in the list which the Chief Commissioner, Andamans, sent to the Punjab |Government have not been included in the list submitted to us. These four men have been regarded as political prisoners, vide our demi-official letter no. 438, dated the 22nd August, and we may, therefore, include them in the list. A revised list has been prepared and may be forwarded to the Hon'ble Member with the draft below.

$$
\text { J. McD },-29-8-21 .
$$

As directed by Deputy Secretary the Bombay (Savarkar Bros.) and Bengal men have been added to the list. Columns $3,4,5$ and 6 of the Statement have been left blank in the case of these men as our records do not contain the particulars required. The Superintendent should have furnished the details as the question asked in March last was how many prisoners have been serving their sentences in the Andamans ; and the men in question have only recently been returned to India.
J. McD.,-1-9-21.

Issue the demi-official.
C. W. Gwynne,-2-9-21.

Demi-offivial letter from C. W. Gwynne, Esq, O.B.E., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, to the Hon'ble Mr. G. M. Bhurgri, Dixie, Chota Simla, no. $5: 5$, dated Simla, the 2nd September 1921.
With reference to the reply given in the Council of State on the 26th March 1921, to your

## Done.

J. MCD,$-4 \cdot 9.21$. question no. 252, I am desired to forward a statement of political prisoners in the Andamans containing the particulars asked for.

List of politioal prisoners in the Andamans.

| Serial No. | Name. | Date of arrival in Port Blair. | Period passod in the Settlement on 2nd August 1921. | State of health. | Whether suffering from malaria or tuberculosis. | Remamas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Finayak Damodar Savarkar Ganeeb Damodar Savarkar | 30th June 1911... 1st June 1910 .. | Bombay. <br> 10 years 1 month <br> 11 years 2 months | Satisfactory Do. | No No | Transferred in the Presidency |
| 3 | Nikunjabehari Pal .. | .. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |
| 4 | Gobinda Chamar Kar .. | . | . | $\cdots$ | . |  |
| 5 | Madan Mohan Btirmik .. | $\cdots$ | . | . | $\cdots$ |  |
| 6 | Trailakyanath Chakrabarti... |  | .. |  | .. |  |
| 7 | Amrito Lal | . | .. | .. |  |  |
| 8 | Satya Ratan Basu | . |  | * | $\cdots$ |  |
| 9 | Shanukul Chatterji | $\cdots$ |  | . |  | Transferred to |
| 10 | Harendra Kumar Bhatta: charya. | $\cdots$ | .. ${ }^{\text {che }}$ | .. | * | in the Presidency |
| 11 | Narendra Mohan Ghosh .. | .. | .. | . | . |  |
| 12 | Bhupender Krishna Ghosh . . | . | . | . | . |  |
| 13 | Jatindra Mohan Nandi : | . | . | . |  |  |
| 14 | Phanindra Bhusan Roy ... | .. | Eer. |  | 4. |  |
| 15 | Ashutosh Lahiri |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Udhan Singh ... .. | 29 th Oot. 1915 .. | 5 years 9 months 4 days. | Good | No. |  |
| 17 | Wasaw Singh | 10th Dec. 1915 .. | 5 years 7 months 23 days. | Do. | No. |  |
| 18 | Haruam Singh | Do. | Do. | Do. | No. | * |
| 19 | Sohan Singh | Do. | Do. | Do. | No. |  |
| 20 | Sswan Singh | Do. | Do. | Do. | No. | \% |
| 21 | Ram Saran Das | Do. | Do. | Bad | Suspected phthisis. | M. T. B. ulcer. |
| 22 | Pirthi Singh | Do. | Do. | Good | No. |  |
| 23 | Permanend | Do. | Do. | Do. | No. |  |
| 24 | Nidhan Singh | Do. | Do. | Do. | No. |  |
| 25 | Nand Singh | Do. | Do. | Do. | No. | . |
| 26 | Kasur Singh | - Do. | Do. | Do. | -No. |  |
| 27 | Hirda Ram | Do. | Do. | Do. | No. |  |
| 28 | Khushal Singh | Do. | Do. | -Do. | No. |  |
| 29 | Jagat Ram | Do. | Do. | Fair | No | Neurathenis, |
| 30 | Gurmukh Singh ... .. | 20th Jan. 1916 .. | 5 years 6 months 13 days. | Good | No. |  |
| 31 | Sher Singh | Do. | Do. | Do. | No. |  |
| 32 | Rur Singh | Do. | Do. | Do. | No. |  |
| 33 | Madaut Singh .. .. | Do. | Do. .. | Do. | No. |  |
| 34 | Jawala Singh | Do. | $\therefore$ Do. | Bo. | No. |  |
| 35 | Chuhar Singh .. | 13th April 1916 . . | 5 years 3 months | Do. | No. |  |
|  |  |  | 10 days. |  | No. |  |

List of political prisoners in the Andamans-contd.

| Serial No. | Name. | Date of arrival in Port Blair. | Period passed in the Settlement on 2nd August 1921. | State of health. | Whether suffering from mslaria or tuberoulosis. | Remares. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 36 | Chanan Singh | 13th April 1986 . | 5 yeara 3 months 10 days. | Good | No. |  |
| 37 | Kehar Singh | Do. | Dó. |  |  |  |
| 38 | Bishen Singh | - Do. | Do. |  |  |  |
| 39 | Bishen Singh | Do. | Do. |  |  |  |
| 40 | Kapoor Singh | 6th Oct. 1916 .. | 4 years 9 months 27 days. | Fair | No. |  |
| 41 | Hardit Singh | Do. | Do. | Good | No. |  |
| 42 | Kirpa Ram | Do. | Do. | Fair | No. |  |
| 43 | Amar Singh | 11ta Feb. 1918 .. | 3 years 5 months 22 day\%. | Good | No. | * |
| 44 | Ali Ahmed Sadique | Do. | Do. | Fair | No. |  |
| 45 | Mohammed Mujtaba | Do. | Do. | Do. | No. |  |
| -46 | Nga Po Taik | 31st July 1913 .. | 8 years 2 days .. | Fair | Suffering from ch. malaria. |  |
| 47 | Nga Ya Nyum | 30th Dee. 1890 .. | 30 years 7 months 3 days. | Do. | No. |  |
| 48 | Nga Nwe | Do. | Do. | Good | No. |  |
| 49 | Female Me Shebe (wife of Nga Nwe). | 26th Sep. 1899 .. | 21 years 10 months <br> 7 days. | Do. | No. |  |
| 50 | Nga Yan Bye | 30th Dee. 1890 , | 30 years 7 months 3 days. | Do. | No. |  |
| 81 | Mohammed Akram Khan | 9 th July 1917 | 4 years 24 days | Do. | No. |  |
| 62 | Chulam Sarvar | Do. | Do. | Do. | Ch. malaria. |  |

Note--One Burma prisoner was retransferred to the province on the 15th August; 19 of the Punjab prisoners were due to sail from the Settlement for Madras on the 23 rd August and the remainder will follow as soon as possible. Orders for the tranafer of the two North. West Frontier Province men by the first available boat issued on the 10th August 1921.

